U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT ESI-PRP Removal - Removal Polrep Final Removal Poirep





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region V

Subject:

POLREP #58

Final

ESI-PRP Removal

B5YS

Indianapolis, IN

Latitude: 39.9128600 Longitude: -86.2423680

To:

Sue Michael, City of Indianapolis

Tom White, Citizens Energy Group

Harry Atkinson, IDEM Ryan Groves, IDEM Megan Nagle, IDEM George Ritchotte, IDEM

Jason Doerflein, Marion County Health Department

Sam Bruner, Pike Fire Department Charles Gebien, U.S. EPA Region V John Maritote, U.S. EPA Region V Janet Pope, U.S. EPA Region V

Debbie Keating, U.S. EPA Region V, ESS Karen Kirchner, U.S. EPA Region V, LCD Kendall Moore, U.S. EPA Region V, LCD Susan Perdomo, U.S. EPA Region V, ORC Gary Prichard, U.S. EPA Region V, ORC John Steketee, U.S. EPA Region V, ORC Carol Staniec, U.S. EPA Region V, Water

Kim Cussen, United Water

Nola Hicks, U.S. EPA Region V, ORC

Mark Johnson, ATSDR

Robert Darnell, U.S. Department of Justice

Stan Rigney, IDEM Randy Braun, IDEM USCĠ NRC, NRC Jeff Kelley, U.S. EPA Maupin Natalie, IDEM

Eric Kaufman, Marion County Health Department

Carol Ropski, U.S. EPA Region V, ESS

Jason Ravenscroft, Marion County Health Department

Sherry Fielding, U.S. EPA HQ

Dan Sparks, USFWS Ed Karecki, USFWS

Bob Masbaum, Citizens Energy Group Kristen Heitman, Providence Wildlife Michael Massonne, City of Indianapolis Cheryl Carlson, Citizens Energy Group

Emily Mack, City of Indianapolis Thomas Marks, U.S. EPA

Rex Osborn, IDEM Gabriele Hauer, IDEM Max Michael, IDEM Jason El-Zein, U.S. EPA Beverly Kush, U.S. EPA

Valincia Darby, Department of Interior

John Glover, U.S. EPA Deirdre Wyatt, IDEM

Samuel Borries, U.S. EPA Region V Jennifer Schick, City of Indianapolis Joseph Malek, U.S. EPA Region V Gary Newhart, U.S. EPA ERT

From:

Verrneta Simon, On-Scene Coordinator

Date:

10/9/2012

Reporting Period:

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Site Number:

B5YS

Contract Number:

D.O. Number:

Action Memo Date:

9/30/2010

Response Authority: CERCLA

PRP

Response Type:

PRP Oversight

Response Lead:

Incident Category: Operable Unit:

Removal Action

NPL Status: Mobilization Date: Non NPL 4/6/2011

Start Date:

4/6/2011

Demob Date:

7/23/2012

Completion Date:

10/9/2012

CERCLIS ID:

INN0051050501

RCRIS ID:

ERNS No.:

State Notification:

FPN#:

Reimbursable Account #:

1.1.1 Incident Category

Bankrupt Commercial Used Oils Facility

1.1.2 Site Description

The ESI site was a commercial used oil processing facility that included a tank farm and several ancillary buildings such as a wastewater treatment plant, a sludge treatment building, a sludge treatment process area, an oil dehydration process area, laboratory/office building, and a truck off-loading building. In addition, the ESI facility has a parking lot in front of the tank farm, a maintenance building immediately adjacent to the tank farm, and a rail unloading area less than one mile west of the tank farm. The parking lot, maintenance building, and rail unloading area were leased from Marathon Petroleum.

The tank farm and ancillary buildings cover approximately 8.2 acres and have multiple process and storage tanks, ranging in size from 1,200 to 1,000,000 gallons in volume. The tank farm was designed so that all stormwater would be captured in its internal sewer system and ultimately run through the wastewater processing equipment prior to being discharged into the City of Indianapolis Sanitary Sewer System.

1.1.2.1 Location

4910 West 86th Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46268

2. Current Activities

2.1 Operations Section

2.1.1 Narrative

2.1.2 Response Actions to Date

On July 9, 2012, U.S EPA, START, IDEM, and the PRP Group attorney conducted a Site walk. The following observations and recommendations were made and action items were communicated to the PRP Group:

- A 6-inch stormwater pipe was installed between manways SS9 and SS28, connecting the southwest drainage area with the northeast drainage area. A discharge pipe from manway SS29 was installed and will discharge water to the drainage ditch. A valve was installed in the discharge pipe to allow for closure of the valve in the event of an onsite spill.
 - o Access to the valve was located in an open manway and a cover should be placed atop the manway to
- A 6-inch drainage pipe was installed at the base of Tank 51 containment area that will drain stormwater into manway SS14. The pipe should effectively drain stormwater from the Tank 51 containment.
- A 6-inch drainage pipe was installed in near the top of the oil-water separator with the drainage directed to manway SS12. The drainage pipe will effectively keep the oil-water from overflowing, but water will remain in the tank.
- The east bunker tank has been backfilled to grade with on-site soil.

- Standing water was observed in the west bunker tank and the tank was enclosed by a 6-foot chain link fence. There was no oil sheen observed. The roof in the southeast corner of the tank was in a state of collapse.
- The northeast corner of the Site has been graded in an easterly direction towards the drainage ditch. Silt fencing was placed adjacent to the drainage ditch along the majority of the newly graded area. Hay was placed atop of the newly graded area and rip rap was placed in the corner to minimize offsite erosion.
 - o A 50-foot section of silt fencing was missing along the north border and should be installed.
 - The area should be seeded and additional rip rap be placed in the graded area to further minimize erosion.
- The solidification pits have been backfilled to grade.
 - o The soil should be compacted to insure that the structural integrity of the backfilled soil is adequate.
- · Oil staining was observed on and around manway SS9.
- The area should be cleaned to prevent any oil sheening.
- A large area of oil stained soil/oil dry was observed along the east side of the Solids Building.
 - o The soil should be scraped and from the asphalt and appropriately disposed of.
- A large area of oil stained asphalt was observed at the northeast corner of the Solids Building.
 - o The asphalt should be cleaned to prevent any oil sheening.
- The overhead doors of the Solids Building were observed in an open position.
 - o The doors should be closed preventing unwanted access to the buildings.

On July 23, 2012, Trihydro was on Site to address the observations and recommendations identified by U.S EPA and START during the Site walk on July 9, 2012. The following action items were completed:

- A removable metal grated step stool was placed atop the stormwater discharge valve to protect the valve.
- A 50-foot section of silt fencing was installed in the northeast corner of the Site and the disturbed area was reseeded.
- Rip rap-sized crushed stone and gravel was installed to prevent shifting in the graded, Site material was used to minimize erosion, and the area disturbed during these activities was reseeded.
- Further compaction of Solidification Pit backfill material was completed by utilizing a Bobcat with the bucket preloaded with sand. The compacted areas were refilled with sand and additional sand was backfilled, in the event of future settling.
- Oil staining observed on and around manway SS9 was cleaned using Simple Green and covered with oil dry.
 The oil dry was worked into the asphalt and following cleaning was collected and drummed for disposal.
- A Bobcat equipped with a flat faced bucket was used to scrape soils and oil dry from the area along the east side of the Solids Building. The remaining oil stains were cleaned using Simple Green and covered with oil dry. The oil dry was worked into the asphalt and following cleaning was collected and drummed for disposal.
- The area northeast of the Solids Building was heavily scraped during the cleaning and the top 1 to 2-inches of
 material were removed during scraping. The remaining oil stains were cleaned using Simple Green and
 covered with oil dry. The oil dry was worked into the asphalt and following cleaning was collected and
 drummed for disposal.
- · All exterior doors were closed during the final mobilization.
- The life preserver in the west bunker tank area was retrieved from inside the enclosed fence and placed along the outside of the fence, in a highly visible location.
- The sandbags along the ESI/AMI berm were arranged to remove any breaches and restore the competence of the sandbag containment.
- Approximately one 55-gallon drum of accumulated solids and cleaning residuals were generated during Site
 activities and were hauled to Southside Solidification landfill in Carmel, Indiana for disposal.

Upon completion of Site activities, the PRP Group submitted their Final Report and received U.S. EPA approval on October 9, 2012. START submitted the Removal Action Report for U.S. EPA review. Following the PRP Group Final Report approval, U.S. EPA issued a Notice of Completion of Letter. A copy of the Notice of Completion Letter is available in the "Documents" section.

2.1.3 Enforcement Activities, Identity of Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs)

2.1.4 Progress Metrics

| Waste Stream | Medium | Quantity | Discharge | Treatment | Disposal |
|------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Oily Water | Liquid | 4,619,516 gallons | | × | |
| Stormwater | Liquid | 437,356 gallons | | X | |
| Tank 51 Containment | Liquid | 452,051 gallons | | x | |
| Totes and Drums | Liquid | 13,310 gallons | | × | |
| Solidified Sludge | Solid | 29,580 cyd | | | X |
| | | | | | |

| Unsolidified Sludge | Liquid | 265 cyd | | X | |
|---------------------|--------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| Treated Stormwater | Liquid | 1,314,036 gallons | X | | |

| R5 Priorities Su | mmary | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Miles of river systems cleaned and/or restored | 0 | | | | |
| This is an Integrated River Assessment. | Cubic yards of contaminated sediments removed and/or capped | 0 | | | | |
| The numbers should overlap. | Gallons of oil/water recovered | 4,619,516 | | | | |
| | Acres of soil/sediment cleaned up in floodplains and riverbanks | 0 | | | | |
| | Acres Protected | 8.3 | | | | |
| Stand Alone | Number of contaminated residential yards cleaned up | 0 | | | | |
| Assessment | Human Health Exposures Avoided | 1,729 | | | | |
| | Number of workers on site | 20 | | | | |
| Contaminant(s) of Concern | | | | | | |
| Contaminant(s) of PCBs, diesel range organics, extended range organics, lead | | | | | | |

2.2 Planning Section

2.2.1 Anticipated Activities

None

2.2.1.1 Planned Response Activities

All Response Activities have been completed.

2.2.1.2 Next Steps

None

2.2.2 Issues

None

2.3 Logistics Section

Not applicable.

2.4 Finance Section

2.4.1 Narrative

Not applicable.

2.5 Other Command Staff

2.5.1 Safety Officer

Not applicable.

2.6 Liaison Officer

Not applicable.

2.7 Information Officer

2.7.1 Public Information Officer

2.7.2 Community Involvement Coordinator

Janet Pope is the Community Involvement Coordinator.

3. Participating Entities

3.1 Unified Command

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Indianapolis Department of Public Works Pike Township Fire Department City of Indianapolis

3.2 Cooperating Agencies

Marion County Health Department

4. Personnel On Site

None

5. Definition of Terms

ASAOC – Administrative Settlement Agreement on Consent ERRS – Emergency and Rapid Response Services IDEM – Indiana Department of Environmental Management OSC – On-Scene Coordinator POLREP – Pollution Report PRP - Potentially Responsible Party QMP - Quality Management Plan RCRA – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act START – Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team U.S. EPA – United States Environmental Protection Agency

6. Additional sources of information

6.1 Internet location of additional information/report

For additional information, please refer to the US EPA lead removal action website at www.epaosc.org/esi

6.2 Reporting Schedule

None

7. Situational Reference Materials

For additional information, please refer to the documents section at www.epaosc.org/esi





